

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,606	PAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew P. Coughlin	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew P. Coughlin.

(2) Scott Reid.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:25 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested changes to be made by an examiner's amendment that would place the case in condition for allowance. The changes suggested were that (1) the phrase "and the pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof" in claim 1 should be replaced with "or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof;" (2) Claim 2 should be amended to depend from claim 1; (3) Claim 9 should be cancelled; and (4) The phrase "preventing or" should be deleted from claim 10. Applicant's representative agreed that these changes should be made by examiner's amendment.